

M-AERI validation of MODIS, VIIRS and SLSTR SST_{skin}

Peter J Minnett, Malgorzata Szczodrak, & Miguel Angel Izaguirre, Katherine Kilpatrick,

& Bingkun Luo.

Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami

pminnett@rsmas.miami.edu

Validation by Comparison with Surface Measurements

Buoys

- Numerous, but not uniformly distributed in space or time.
- Long time series, starting in early 1980s.
- Subsurface measurement.
- Calibration issues.
- Not a comparison of like-with-like.

Radiometers

- Fewer, and not uniformly distributed in space or time.
- Began in mid-1990's.
- Skin SST measurement.
- Very good calibration, repeatable and traceable to SI-standards.
- Is a comparison of like-with-like.

Best approach to use both, but here focus on radiometers.

RSMAS Ship Radiometers

M-AERI

- M-AERI is a very well-calibrated and stable seagoing Fourier Transform Infrared Interferometer.
- At sea calibration by two internal blackbody cavities with thermometers with NIST-traceable calibration.
- Calibration sequence before and after each cycle of measurements.
- Calibration before and after deployments using NIST-designed water-bath blackbody calibration target at RSMAS. Uses SI-traceable thermometers with mK accuracy.
- Periodic radiometric characterization of RSMAS water-bath blackbody calibration target by NIST TXR and NPL AMBER.

ISAR

- ISAR is a very well-calibrated and stable seagoing filter radiometer.
- At sea calibration by two internal blackbody cavities with thermometers with SI-traceable calibration.
- Calibration sequence before and after each cycle of measurements.
- Calibration before and after deployments using NIST-designed water-bath blackbody calibration target at RSMAS or UW-APL. Use SI-traceable thermometers with mK accuracy.
- Periodic radiometric characterization of RSMAS water-bath blackbody calibration target by NIST TXR and NPL AMBER

Sequence of Presentation

- 1. Sentinel-3a SLSTR
- 2. MODIS on *Terra* and *Aqua*
- 3. Suomi-NPP VIIRS

M-AERI SST_{skin} for Sentinal-3a SLSTR

| Cruises | Area | START | END | Days of |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| | | | | data |
| 2017 Equinox | Caribbean Sea | 2017-07-01 | 2017-12-31 | 183 |
| 2017 Allure | Caribbean Sea | 2017-10-02 | 2017-11-26 | 55 |
| 2018 Equinox | Caribbean Sea | 2018-01-11 | 2018-04-15 | 94 |
| 2018 - 1 Adventure | Caribbean Sea and | 2018-02-12 | 2018-05-27 | 104 |
| | US East Coast | | | |
| 2018 - 2 Adventure | Caribbean Sea and | 2018-06-01 | 2018-12-31 | 213 |
| | US East Coast | | | |
| 2018 RHB | Global | 2018-03-07 | 2018-10-23 | 230 |
| 2019 RHB | North Atlantic | 2019-02-24 | 2019-03-29 | 33 |
| Total | | 2017-07-01 | 2019-03-29 | 636 |
| RHB. NOAA Shin | Ronald H Brown | | | |

See: Luo, B., Minnett, P.J., Szczodrak, M., Kilpatrick, K., & Izaguirre, M. (2020). Validation of Sentinel-3A SLSTR derived Sea-Surface Skin Temperatures with those of the shipborne M-AERI. *Remote Sensing of Environment 244*, 111826.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111826

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI ROSENSTIEL SCHOOL of MARINE & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE



5°N-W

85°W

80°W

75°W

70°W

65°W

280

ISFRN International Workshop 17-18 September 2020

60°W

75°W

5°N°W

55°W

60°W

296

SLSTR SST_{skin} – M-AERI SST_{skin}

- Sentinel-3a SLSTR WCT L2P format data are used.
- Only QL = 5 (best quality) data used in this study.
- WCT files comprise "best" retrievals from the four possible algorithms.
- SLSTR data from EUMETSAT Copernicus Online Data Access server at <u>https://codarep.eumetsat.int/</u>

| Туре | Channel/view combinations |
|------|--|
| N2 | Across-track single-view day-time retrieval (nadir |
| | swath only, 3.7 µm and along-track data are not |
| | used) |
| N3 | Across-track single-view night-time (nadir swath |
| | only, along-track data are not used) |
| D2 | Dual view day time (3.7 µm data are not used) |
| D3 | Dual view night time (3 channels used) |







UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI ROSENSTIEL SCHOOL of MARINE & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE

ISFRN International Workshop 17-18 September 2020

SLSTR SST_{skin} – M-AERI SST_{skin}



TMOSPHERIC SCIENCE

ISFRN International Workshop 17-18 September 2020

SLSTR SST_{skin} by retrieval type – M-AERI SST_{skin}



Comparison Statistics

| Cruises | START | END | Ν | N* | Mean | Med | STD | RMS | RSD |
|------------------------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2017 Equinox | 20170701 | 20171231 | 34439 | 929 | -0.274 | -0.059 | 0.742 | 0.790 | 0.473 |
| 2017 Allure | 20171002 | 20171126 | 6713 | 205 | -0.179 | -0.023 | 0.780 | 0.799 | 0.313 |
| 2018 Equinox | 20180111 | 20180415 | 15817 | 532 | -0.200 | -0.106 | 0.691 | 0.719 | 0.326 |
| 2018 Leg1 Adventure | 20180212 | 20180527 | 11201 | 451 | -0.116 | -0.029 | 0.529 | 0.541 | 0.291 |
| 2018 Leg2 Adventure | 20180601 | 20181231 | 35826 | 1344 | 0.038 | 0.033 | 0.385 | 0.386 | 0.242 |
| 2018 RHB | 20180307 | 20181023 | 38354 | 921 | -0.001 | 0.044 | 0.415 | 0.415 | 0.275 |
| 2019 RHB | 20190224 | 20190329 | 8407 | 394 | -0.143 | -0.050 | 0.471 | 0.492 | 0.326 |
| Total | 20170701 | 20190329 | 150757 | 5216 | -0.098 | -0.008 | 0.565 | 0.574 | 0.296 |

to .

Same St.

MODIS on Terra and Aqua

- Terra was launched on 18 December, 1999; Aqua was launched on 4 May, 2002
- Both are in nominal operations. Aqua recently revitalized after a data formatter failure caused a shut-down.
- Standard atmospheric correction algorithm is modified NLSST for day and night.
- Night-time algorithm uses measurements at $\lambda = 3.95$ and 4.05 μ m.
- Entire missions reprocessed at end of 2019, called R2019. Prior version was R2014 (or C6).
- All SST_{skin} retrievals reprocessed, but R2019 MUDB a casualty of Covid-19.

MODIS R2019 Improvements

The major changes in R2019 are:

- a) Replacing the NOAA OI "Reynolds" SSTs, with the CMC as the reference field.
- b) New cloud screening Alternating Decision Trees.¹
- c) Night-time aerosol correction additive term to atmospheric correction algorithm if an aerosol index threshold passed.²
- d) High-Latitude coefficients.³
- e) Improvement to cloud-ice discrimination.
- ¹ Kilpatrick, K.A., Podestá, G., Williams, E., Walsh, S., & Minnett, P.J. (2019). Alternating Decision Trees for Cloud Masking in MODIS and VIIRS NASA Sea Surface Temperature Products. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 36*, 387-407. DOI: 10.1175/jtech-d-18-0103.1
- ²Luo, B., Minnett, P.J., Gentemann, C., & Szczodrak, G. (2019). Improving satellite retrieved night-time infrared sea surface temperatures in aerosol contaminated regions. Remote Sensing of Environment 223, 8-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.01.009
- ³ Jia, C., & Minnett, P.J. (2020). High Latitude Sea Surface Temperatures Derived from MODIS Infrared Measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment* Accepted.

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI ROSENSTIEL SCHOOL of MARINE & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE

MODIS SSTs & Ship Radiometers

R2014 data

| MODIS Skin SST vs M-AFRL and ISAR Skin SST. Temperatures in K | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Satellite and Algorithm | Mean | Median | Standard Deviation | Robust St. Deviation | Number | | |
| Terra SST Day | 0.082 | 0.080 | 0.567 | 0.409 | 1025 | | |
| Terra SST Night | 0.048 | 0.034 | 0.467 | 0.337 | 2454 | | |
| Terra SST4 Night | 0.016 | 0.023 | 0.339 | 0.244 | 2467 | | |
| Aqua SST Day | 0.105 | 0.107 | 0.666 | 0.480 | 910 | | |
| Aqua SST Night | 0.020 | 0.027 | 0.489 | 0.353 | 1752 | | |
| Aqua SST4 Night | -0.010 | 0.016 | 0.396 | 0.285 | 1858 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI ROSENSTIEL SCHOOL of MARINE & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE

ISFRN International Workshop 17-18 September 2020

Partial R2019 Statistics – M-AERI

| MODIS SST _{skin} - M-AERI SST _{skin} for quality level = 0 (best). Moon modion Standard deviation Robust standard deviation (IOR/1.836), and count | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|--|--|
| SensorQLMeanMedianSDRSDCount | | | | | | | | |
| Terra | 0 | -0.058 | -0.052 | 0.481 | 0.347 | 3069 | | |
| Aqua | 0 | 0.042 | 0.040 | 0.494 | 0.347 | 2070 | | |

Suomi-NPP VIIRS (NASA algorithms)

- Suomi-NPP was launched on 28 October 2011.
- VIIRS has fewer channels than MODIS, missing the SST4 pair.
- NASA SST_{skin} atmospheric correction algorithm is comparable to MODIS NLSST.
- NASA night-time only algorithm is SST triple, based on at $\lambda=3.70,\,10.8$ and 12.0 $\mu m.$

S-NPP SST_{skin} vs M-AERI SST_{skin}

| Quality Level | Mean | Median | Standard Deviation | Robust Standard Deviation | Count | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| SST _{skin} day | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.077 | 0.066 | 0.260 | 0.193 | 7380 | | |
| 1 | -0.035 | -0.020 | 0.427 | 0.316 | 5878 | | |
| SST _{skin} night | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.029 | 0.043 | 0.411 | 0.305 | 10074 | | |
| 1 | -0.205 | -0.192 | 0.643 | 0.477 | 4906 | | |
| SST _{triple} night | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.053 | 0.090 | 0.468 | 0.347 | 4359 | | |
| 1 | -0.162 | -0.117 | 0.633 | 0.470 | 3792 | | |

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI ROSENSTIEL SCHOOL of MARINE & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE Global statistics for VIIRS SST_{skin} retrievals compared to SST_{skin} derived from M-AERIs.

ISFRN International Workshop

17-18 September 2020

Summary

- Target accuracies and decadal stability requirements for SST are very demanding, and challenging to verify.
- Comparison with ship-board radiometers provides a primary mechanism for ensuring satellite SST_{skin} retrievals have an SI-traceable reference.
- SI-traceability permits the generation of SST_{skin} Climate Data Records.
- SLSTR, MODIS and VIIRS are producing very good SST_{skin}, but room for improvements.
- Continuing ship radiometer measurements in the post-Covid world?

Outstanding issues

- Better cloud screening and atmospheric correction algorithms.
- Developing full error and uncertainty budgets for satellite-derived SST_{skin}.
- Assess sampling errors in ship radiometer measurements, (also for drifting buoy data)
- The SSES (Sensor Specific Error Statistics) for each SST_{skin} product should be revisited.
- Improved modeling of thermal skin effect is needed.
- And much more....